

**Merchant & Gould**

An Intellectual Property Law Firm

3200 IDS Center  
80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, Minnesota  
55402-2215 USA  
TEL 612.332.5300  
FAX 612.332.9081  
www.merchant-  
gould.com

A Professional Corporation

**Fax Transmission** | June 1, 2004

TO: Commissioner for Patents  
Attn: Examiner Alton N. Pryor  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FROM: Mark T. Skoog

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

OUR REF: 163.1587US01  
TELEPHONE: 612.371.5240

**JUN 01 2004****OFFICIAL**

Total pages, including cover letter: 4

PTO FAX NUMBER 1-703.872.9306

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 612.371.5204, or fax us at 612.332.9081.

Title of Document Transmitted:

RESPONSE AND PETITION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME

Applicant: HEI ET AL.  
Serial No.: 10/086.765  
Filed: FEBRUARY 28, 2002  
Group Art Unit: 1616  
Our Ref. No.: 163.1587US01  
Confirmation No. 8789

Please charge Deposit Account No. 13-2725 in the amount of \$110.00 for a 1-month extension of time fee. Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2725. Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate.

By:

Mark T. Skoog  
Name: Mark T. Skoog  
Reg. No.: 40,178

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Signature

Date

GEN033.DOT

S/N 10/086,765

PATENTIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	HEI ET AL.	Examiner:	A. PRYOR
Serial No.:	10/086,765	Group Art Unit:	1616
Filed:	FEBRUARY 28, 2002	Docket No.:	163.1587US01
Confirmation No.:	8789	Customer No.:	23552
Title:	TWO SOLVENT ANTIMICROBIAL COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS EMPLOYING THEM		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 1, 2004.

By: 

Name: KATE GANNON

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of April 1, 2004, please consider the following remarks. The Office Action adds further specificity to a previously stated Species Election Requirement. The Examiner asserts that applicants must name a single diester dicarboxylate and a single peroxydicarboxylic acid that will be searched at this time.

In response, Applicants elect dimethyl sebacate as the diester dicarboxylate and peroxyoctanoic acid as the peroxydicarboxylic acid.

Applicants believe that generic claims are allowable in this application, which will render this species election moot. Further, Applicants respectfully traverse the species election requirement on the basis that searching diester dicarboxylates and peroxydicarboxylic acids does not present an undue burden on the Examiner.